1 2 3 4	LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY L. GRAUBAR Jeffrey L. Graubart (State Bar No. 42250) info@jlgraubart.com 800 East Colorado Boulevard, Suite 840 Pasadena, California 91101-2173 Telephone: (626) 304-2800 Facsimile: (626) 381-9601	T, P.C.
5 6 7 8 9 10	BLECHER COLLINS PEPPERMAN & JOYE Maxwell M. Blecher (State Bar No. 26202)  mblecher@blechercollins.com  Donald R. Pepperman (State Bar No. 109809)  dpepperman@blechercollins.com  John E. Andrews (State Bar No. 105336)  jandrews@blechercollins.com  515 South Figueroa Street, Suite 1750  Los Angeles, California 90071-3334  Telephone: (213) 622-4222  Facsimile: (213) 622-1656	E, P.C.
11 12 13 14	RICHARD FRIEDMAN  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
14	WESTERN DIVISION	
15	WESTERN DIV	VISION
15	RICHARD FRIEDMAN, an individual,	VISION  Case No. 2:15-CV-00502
15 16 17		Case No. 2:15-CV-00502  COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
16	RICHARD FRIEDMAN, an individual,  Plaintiff,  vs.	Case No. 2:15-CV-00502  COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR: (1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; (2) UNFAIR
16 17	RICHARD FRIEDMAN, an individual,  Plaintiff,  vs.  HANS ZIMMER, individually, and doing business as REMOTE CONTROL SONGS;	Case No. 2:15-CV-00502  COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR: (1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; (2) UNFAIR COMPETITION; AND (3) VIOLATION OF MORAL
16 17 18	RICHARD FRIEDMAN, an individual,  Plaintiff,  vs.  HANS ZIMMER, individually, and doing business as REMOTE CONTROL SONGS; TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION; FOX SEARCHLIGHT	Case No. 2:15-CV-00502  COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR: (1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; (2) UNFAIR COMPETITION; AND (3) VIOLATION OF MORAL RIGHTS
16 17 18 19	RICHARD FRIEDMAN, an individual,  Plaintiff,  vs.  HANS ZIMMER, individually, and doing business as REMOTE CONTROL SONGS; TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION; FOX SEARCHLIGHT PICTURES, INC.; FOX ENTERTAINMENT GROUP, INC.; NEW	Case No. 2:15-CV-00502  COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR: (1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; (2) UNFAIR COMPETITION; AND (3) VIOLATION OF MORAL
16 17 18 19 20	RICHARD FRIEDMAN, an individual,  Plaintiff,  vs.  HANS ZIMMER, individually, and doing business as REMOTE CONTROL SONGS; TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION; FOX SEARCHLIGHT PICTURES, INC.; FOX ENTERTAINMENT GROUP, INC.; NEW REGENCY PRODUCTIONS, INC.; MONARCHY ENTERPRISES, S.A.R.L.,	Case No. 2:15-CV-00502  COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR: (1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; (2) UNFAIR COMPETITION; AND (3) VIOLATION OF MORAL RIGHTS
16 17 18 19 20 21	RICHARD FRIEDMAN, an individual,  Plaintiff,  vs.  HANS ZIMMER, individually, and doing business as REMOTE CONTROL SONGS; TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION; FOX SEARCHLIGHT PICTURES, INC.; FOX ENTERTAINMENT GROUP, INC.; NEW REGENCY PRODUCTIONS, INC.; MONARCHY ENTERPRISES, S.A.R.L., individually, and doing business as REGENCY ENTERPRISES; RIVER ROAD	Case No. 2:15-CV-00502  COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR: (1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; (2) UNFAIR COMPETITION; AND (3) VIOLATION OF MORAL RIGHTS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RICHARD FRIEDMAN, an individual,  Plaintiff,  vs.  HANS ZIMMER, individually, and doing business as REMOTE CONTROL SONGS; TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION; FOX SEARCHLIGHT PICTURES, INC.; FOX ENTERTAINMENT GROUP, INC.; NEW REGENCY PRODUCTIONS, INC.; MONARCHY ENTERPRISES, S.A.R.L., individually, and doing business as REGENCY ENTERPRISES; RIVER ROAD ENTERTAINMENT, LLC; PLAN B ENTERTAINMENT, INC.; REMOTE	Case No. 2:15-CV-00502  COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR: (1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; (2) UNFAIR COMPETITION; AND (3) VIOLATION OF MORAL RIGHTS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	RICHARD FRIEDMAN, an individual,  Plaintiff,  vs.  HANS ZIMMER, individually, and doing business as REMOTE CONTROL SONGS; TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION; FOX SEARCHLIGHT PICTURES, INC.; FOX ENTERTAINMENT GROUP, INC.; NEW REGENCY PRODUCTIONS, INC.; MONARCHY ENTERPRISES, S.A.R.L., individually, and doing business as REGENCY ENTERPRISES; RIVER ROAD ENTERTAINMENT, LLC; PLAN B ENTERTAINMENT, INC.; REMOTE CONTROL PRODUCTIONS, INC.; SONY MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT; DOES ONE	Case No. 2:15-CV-00502  COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR: (1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; (2) UNFAIR COMPETITION; AND (3) VIOLATION OF MORAL RIGHTS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	RICHARD FRIEDMAN, an individual,  Plaintiff,  VS.  HANS ZIMMER, individually, and doing business as REMOTE CONTROL SONGS; TWENTIETH CENTURY FOX FILM CORPORATION; FOX SEARCHLIGHT PICTURES, INC.; FOX ENTERTAINMENT GROUP, INC.; NEW REGENCY PRODUCTIONS, INC.; MONARCHY ENTERPRISES, S.A.R.L., individually, and doing business as REGENCY ENTERPRISES; RIVER ROAD ENTERTAINMENT, LLC; PLAN B ENTERTAINMENT, INC.; REMOTE CONTROL PRODUCTIONS, INC.; SONY	Case No. 2:15-CV-00502  COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR: (1) COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT; (2) UNFAIR COMPETITION; AND (3) VIOLATION OF MORAL RIGHTS

Blecher Collins Pepperman & Joye adv.

IMAGEM PRODUCTION MUSIC LLC, individually, and doing business as 5 ALARM MUSIC, and also doing business as CYPRESS CREEK MUSIC,

Involuntary Plaintiff.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**26** 

27

28

Plaintiff Richard Friedman ("Plaintiff") files this Complaint against the above-named defendants ("Defendants") to secure damages and injunctive and declaratory relief, and demanding trial by jury, claims and alleges:

I.

# **SUMMARY OF THE CASE**

This Complaint seeks legal and injunctive relief for copyright infringement and related claims arising out of Defendants' unauthorized use of Plaintiff's copyrighted music in the soundtrack for the film, 12 Years a Slave (hereinafter, "12YAS").

II.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This Complaint is filed and this action is instituted under §101, et seq., 1. of the Copyright Act to recover the damages caused by, and to secure injunctive and declaratory relief against the above-named Defendants for their past and continuing violations of 17 U.S.C. §101, et seq. and common law unfair competition laws, as alleged herein.
- 2. This Court has original and exclusive jurisdiction over the subject matter of this civil action under the Copyright Act 17 U.S.C. §§ 411 and 501. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367, the Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the substantially related state law unfair competition claim that forms part of the same case or controversy.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District because defendants transact business on a systematic and continuous basis within this District and may be found here within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and §1400. Further, many of the infringing and unlawful acts were performed and occurred within this District.

III.

### **THE PARTIES**

Plaintiff Richard Friedman, an individual, is a resident of Culver City 4.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

and the County of Los Angeles, California.

- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Hans Zimmer, is an individual, and is also doing business as Remote Control Songs (hereinafter, collectively, "Zimmer"), and is a resident of the County of Los Angeles, California.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation (hereinafter "Fox") is a Delaware corporation, qualified to do business in California, having a place of business at 10201 West Pico Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Fox Searchlight Pictures, Inc. (hereinafter "Searchlight") is a Delaware corporation, qualified to do business in California, and having a principal place of business at 10201 West Pico Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant 8. Fox Entertainment Group, Inc. (hereinafter "FEG") is a Delaware corporation, qualified to do business in California, and having a principal place of business at 10201 West Pico Boulevard, City of Los Angeles, State of California.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant New Regency Productions, Inc. (hereinafter "New Regency") is a California corporation, having a principal place of business at 1801 Century Park West, Los Angeles, California.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant 10. Monarchy Enterprises S.a.r.l. (hereinafter, collectively, "Monarchy") is a Luxembourg corporation, not qualified to do business in California, but it is doing business at 1801 Century Park West, Los Angeles, California, under the trade name Regency Enterprises.
- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant River Road Entertainment, LLC (hereinafter "River Road") is a Minnesota

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

**20** 

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

corporation qualified to do business in California, and having a principal place of business at 2000 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 620, Los Angeles, California.

- 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Plan B Entertainment, Inc. (hereinafter "Plan B") is a California corporation, having a principal place of business at 10201 West Pico Boulevard, Los Angeles, California.
- 13. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Remote Control Productions, Inc., is a California corporation, with its principal place of business at 1547 14th Street, Santa Monica, California, 90404.
- 14. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant Sony Music Entertainment (hereinafter "Sony") is a Delaware general partnership, having a principal place of business at 9830 Wilshire Boulevard, Beverly Hills, California.
- Involuntary plaintiff Imagem Production Music LLC ("Imagem"), is a 15. New York corporation, not qualified to do business in California, but doing business in California as 5 Alarm Music, and also doing business in California as Cypress Creek Music, at 44 West Green Street, Pasadena, California. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that Imagem is the successor-in-interest to ABACO Productions, Inc. ("ABACO"), the original copyright claimant to To Our Fallen.
- 16. Plaintiff does not know the true names of Defendants designated as Does 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sues them by said fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes that said fictitiously named Defendants are responsible in some manner for Plaintiff's damage herein, and will amend this complaint to allege their true names when ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that, in doing the acts herein alleged, each Defendant was the agent, employee, co-conspirator and/or attorney for each co-defendant, and each was acting within the scope of said agency, employment and/or conspiracy.

IV.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Copyright Infringement Against All Defendants Except SME)

- 17. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 16 herein, as if fully set forth.
- 18. In or about 2004, Plaintiff wrote and composed a musical composition entitled *To Our Fallen* (hereinafter referred to as "the Composition").
- 19. In or about May, 2004, ABACO, a commercial music library, caused to be recorded a derivative work of the Composition which was included in a recorded music sampler entitled *American Heart*, containing the Composition and additional compositions by Plaintiff, as well as other compositions by a second composer. That sound recording was widely distributed in or about 2004, and, thus, (i) the Composition and (ii) the sound recording of *To Our Fallen* contained therein (hereinafter referred to as the "Sound Recording") were published at that time.
- 20. In 2006, ABACO, applied for and was issued by the Register of Copyrights Certificate of Registration of a Claim to Copyright in and to the Composition, No. SR 392-592. Plaintiff is the beneficial owner of the Composition, and the Sound Recording.
- 21. The Composition and the Sound Recording were embodied in the episode of the television show *Desperate Housewives* entitled "The Juiciest Bites -- In Memoriam," aired on the ABC Television Network in April, 2008, and October, 2009. Plaintiff is informed and thereon alleges that the string overdub portions of the music for "The Juiciest Bites -- In Memoriam" was recorded at Remote Control Productions, a recording facility owned in whole or in part by Defendant Hans Zimmer, as was all or most of the musical score for *12YAS*. The foregoing is compelling evidence of access by Defendants to the Composition.
- 22. In or about 2013, Defendants produced and distributed the motion picture *12YAS* in the United States and throughout the world. *12YAS* reproduced

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

and embodied musical compositions and cues ostensibly composed by Defendant
Zimmer, including, inter alia, the main musical theme entitled Solomon Northup.
Later in 2013, 12YAS received widespread critical acclaim, and was named the best
film of 2013 by several media outlets. The film was awarded the Golden Globe
Award for the Best Motion Picture Drama, the British Academy of Film and
Television Arts ("BAFTA") recognized it with the Best Film Award, and it went on
to win the Academy of Motion Picture Arts and Sciences Best Picture of the Year
"Oscar." Zimmer was nominated for the Golden Globe award for the best musical
score of 2013 for 12YAS, his purported creation. 12YAS has generated substantial
worldwide profits for all of the Defendants.

- 23. Notably, (a) the main theme, Solomon Northup, (b) the music for the closing credits, and (c) eleven of the other musical cues embodied in 12YAS are based upon, and substantially similar to, the Composition and the Sound Recording, and infringe Plaintiff's rights in and to the Composition and the Sound Recording (collectively "Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works"), but neither Plaintiff, nor any other person or entity in privity with plaintiff, has been asked by Defendants, at any time, for permission to use or license Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works in 12YAS.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant Hans Zimmer claims 24. that he owns and/or controls all of the musical score and cues embodied in 12YAS, and based on Zimmer's false claims, the other Defendants, erroneously, and in violation of the moral rights of Plaintiff pursuant to the copyright laws of the numerous foreign nations where the motion picture and the phonorecord of 12YAS have been distributed and exhibited, have credited Defendant Zimmer rather than Plaintiff as the composer of the Composition and the Sound Recording.
- 25. The foregoing conduct of Defendants, in copying protected elements of Plaintiff's works, is without any authorization or license from Plaintiff, and, therefore, constitutes copyright infringements, as to the Composition, as well as to the Sound Recording. Plaintiff seeks, as damages, appropriate amounts to be

11

12

13

14

15

16

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	determined at trial, according to 17 U.S.C. §501, et seq., from each of the		
2	Defendants named herein for each such infringement. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504,		
3	Plaintiff is entitled to recover the actual damages suffered as a result of the		
4	infringement, and any profits of the Defendants that are attributable to the		
5	infringement. Furthermore, because the acts of Defendants, and such other persons		
6	who may be responsible for the infringements of Plaintiff's copyright, have been		
7	deliberate and willful, and have been guilty of malice, oppression, and malice,		
8	Plaintiff will seek an award of punitive damages pursuant to California Civil Code §		
9	3294.		

26. Defendants' infringing conduct has also caused and is causing substantial and irreparable injury and damage to Plaintiff in an amount not capable of determination, and, unless restrained, will cause further irreparable injury, leaving plaintiff with no adequate remedy at law. Plaintiff accordingly requests injunctive relief pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §502.

#### V.

# **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

(Copyright Infringement Against Defendant SME)

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 26 herein, as if fully set forth.
- 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the musical score for 12YAS, including material portions of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works were reproduced and distributed on phonorecords by Defendant Sony Music Entertainment ("SME") through its division, Columbia Records. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that SME licensed, and distributed throughout the United States and many countries of the world, and sold many hundreds of thousands of copies of the phonorecord embodying the "soundtrack" music from 12YAS, which includes Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works.
  - 29. The foregoing conduct of Defendant SME is without any authorization

or license from Plaintiff, and, therefore, constitutes copyright infringements both as to the Composition as well as the Sound Recording. Plaintiff seeks, as damages, appropriate amounts to be determined at trial according to 17 U.S.C. §501, *et seq.*, from defendant SME for each infringement. Pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §504, Plaintiff is entitled to recover the actual damages suffered as a result of the infringement, and any profits of the Defendants that are attributable to the infringement.

30. Defendants' infringing conduct has also caused and is causing substantial and irreparable injury and damage to Plaintiff in an amount not capable of determination, and, unless restrained, will cause further irreparable injury, leaving Plaintiff with no adequate remedy at law. Plaintiff accordingly requests injunctive relief pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §502.

#### VI.

# THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Common Law Unfair Competition Against All Defendants)

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 30 herein, as if fully set forth.
- 32. The acts and activities outside of the United States by Defendants and those acting in concert with them as hereinabove set forth, constitute unfair competition with Plaintiff under the laws of the State of California and at common law. Plaintiff seeks an award of exemplary and punitive damages pursuant to California Civil Code §3294, based upon the fact that the unauthorized uses by Defendants of the Sound Recording and the Composition outside of the United States was fraudulent, oppressive and malicious, in that Defendants consciously disregarded the rights of Plaintiff in and to the Sound Recording and the Composition.

#### VII.

# FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT AND VIOLATION OF MORAL RIGHTS UNDER THE COPYRIGHT LAW OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

(Against All Defendants)

- 33. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraph 1 through 32, inclusive of the Complaint above, with the same force and effect as though set forth completely herein.
- 34. At all times herein mentioned, there was in full force and effect in the Federal Republic of Germany the German Copyright Statute of 1965, as amended July 16, 1998, which provides [in its English language translation], in pertinent part:

## 13. Recognition of Authorship --

The author shall have the right of recognition of his authorship of the work. He can determine whether the work is to bear an author's designation and what designation is to be used.

# 14. Distortion of the Work --

(1) The author shall have the right to prohibit any distortion or any other mutilation of his work which would prejudice his lawful intellectual or personal interests in the work.

#### 15. General --

The author shall have the exclusive right to exploit his work in material form; the right shall comprise in

4
5

8

9

**10** 

11

12

13

14

15

16

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

ns	Joy
Ξ	$\propto$
ਨ੍ਹ	ıan
er (	erm
ç	bbe
$\mathbf{\tilde{R}}$	Pe

. •	1
partic	ular
purue	ulul

- 1. the right of reproduction (Article 16)
- 2. the right of distribution (Article 17)
- 3. the right of broadcast (Article 20).

#### 97. Actions for Injunction and Damages --

- (1) As against any person who infringes a copyright or any other right protected by this Law, the injured party may bring an action for injunctive relief requiring the wrongdoer to cease and desist if there is a danger of repetition of the acts of infringement, as well as an action for damages if the infringement was intentional or the result of negligence. In place of damages, the injured party may require surrender of the profits derived by the infringer from the acts of infringement together with detailed accounting reflecting such profits.
- (2) Authors, including...performers (Section 73) may, if the infringement was intentional or the result of negligence, recover, as justice may require, a monetary indemnity for the injury caused to them even if no pecuniary loss has occurred.
- Plaintiffs request that the Court take notice of the German Copyright 35. Statute and award damages to plaintiffs for copyright infringement as well for the violation of Plaintiff's moral rights under the German Copyright Act.
- Plaintiff seeks an award of exemplary and punitive damages based on 36. the fact that the unauthorized uses by Defendants, and each of them, of Plaintiff's copyrighted musical composition and sound recording, in that Defendants, and each of them as joint-tortfeasors, willfully, wantonly, and consciously disregarded the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

**17** 

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

rights of Plaintiff's in and to Plaintiff's intellectual property.

#### VIII.

# FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT **AND VIOLATION OF MORAL RIGHTS UNDER** THE COPYRIGHT LAW OF THE REPUBLIC OF FRANCE (Against All Defendants)

- 37. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in paragraph 1 through 32, inclusive of the Complaint above, with the same force and effect as though set forth completely herein.
- 38. At all times herein mentioned, there was in full force and effect in the Republic of France the Code of Intellectual Property of 1992, as amended October 1, 2010, which provides [in its unofficial English language translation], in pertinent part:

Article L121-1. An author shall enjoy the right to respect for his name, his authorship and his work. This right shall attach to his person. It shall be perpetual, inalienable and imprescriptible.

Article L121-2. The author alone shall have the right to divulge his work. He shall determine the method of disclosure and shall fix the conditions thereof....

Article L122-1. The right of exploitation belonging to the author shall comprise the right of performance and the right of reproduction.

- 39. Plaintiffs request that the Court take notice of the French Intellectual Property Code and award damages to Plaintiff's for copyright infringement as well for the violation of Plaintiff's moral rights under the French Intellectual Property Code.
  - 40. Plaintiff seeks an award of exemplary and punitive damages based on

the fact that the unauthorized uses by Defendants, and each of them, of Plaintiff's copyrighted musical composition and sound recording, in that Defendants, and each of them as joint-tortfeasors, willfully, wantonly, and consciously disregarded the rights of Plaintiff's in and to Plaintiff's intellectual property.

#### IX.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. On the First and Second Claims for Relief, for actual damages and profits, according to proof, based upon 17 U.S.C. §504;
  - 2. On the Second Claim for Relief, for damages according to proof;
  - 3. On the Third Claim for Relief, for damages according to proof;
- 4. On the Third Claim for Relief, for an award of exemplary and punitive damages according to proof;
- 5. On the Fourth and Fifth Claims for Relief that each Defendant be required to pay to Plaintiff such damages and profits as provided by the German Copyright Statute of 1965 and the French Intellectual Property Code of 1992, as amended October 1, 2010, for each separate infringement of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works and, in addition, for the infringement of the neighboring rights of Plaintiff thereunder;
- 6. On the First, Second, Third, Fourth, and Fifth Claims for Relief, that each of the Defendants, and each of them, their officers, agents, servants, employees and all persons, firms, corporations, and associations in active concert or participation with them, be enjoined during the pendency of this action and permanently from:
- (a) Producing, exhibition, publicly displaying, licensing, manufacturing, distributing, selling, or in any way using or transferring the copyrighted portions of the Works and that all videograms and phonorecords

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

**17** 

**18** 

19

**20** 

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Blecher Collins	Pepperman & Joy	
Ö	J	
m	Д	

embodying the copyrighted portions of the Works be delivered up and destroyed as
the Court shall direct pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §503; and

- (b) Issuing any advertising or promotional material, making public statements or otherwise representing that any portion of the Copyright Works will be in any manner be associated with, authorized by, or sponsored by Defendant Zimmer; and
- 7. For a declaratory judgment that Plaintiff is the legal owner of (a) Solomon Northup, and (b) all other twelve musical cues embodied in the videograms and phonorecords of 12YAS, and that all thirteen (13) of these musical compositions are based on To Our Fallen;
- 8. For an award of exemplary and punitive damages, pursuant to California Civil Code §3294, according to proof;
- 9. An award of pre-judgment and post judgment interest and costs of this action against all Defendants; and
- For any further relief the Court should deem just and proper. 10.

Dated: January 22, 2015 BLECHER COLLINS PEPPERMAN & JOYE, P.C. MAXWELL M. BLECHER DONALD R. PEPPERMAN JOHN E. ANDREWS

By:

/s/ Maxwell M. Blecher Maxwell M. Blecher Attorneys for Plaintiff RICHARD FRIEDMAN

Blecher Collins Pepperman & Joye Dated: January 22, 2015 LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY L. GRAUBART, P.C. JEFFREY L. GRAUBART

By: /s/ Jeffrey L. Graubart

Jeffrey L. Graubart Attorney for Plaintiff RICHARD FRIEDMAN

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial as provided for pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.

P. 38, and Local Rule 38-1.

Dated: January 22, 2015 BLECHER COLLINS PEPPERMAN & JOYE, P.C.

MAXWELL M. BLECHER DONALD R. PEPPERMAN

JOHN E. ANDREWS

By: /s/ Maxwell M. Blecher

> Maxwell M. Blecher Attorneys for Plaintiff RICHARD FRIEDMAN

Dated: January 22, 2015 LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY L. GRAUBART, P.C.

JEFFREY L. GRAUBART

By: /s/ Jeffrey L. Graubart

> Jeffrey L. Graubart Attorney for Plaintiff RICHARD FRIEDMAN

**17** 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

**10** 

11

**12** 

13

**14** 

**15** 

**16** 

**18** 

19

20

67871.7

21

**22** 

23

24

25

**26** 

27